Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/652,272	STEVENSON, SANFORD M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Peter A. Hruskoci	1724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Peter A. Hruskoci</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Daniel J. Long</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 08 May 2001.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)☐ applicant's representativ	e]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: None .				
Identification of prior art discussed: None.				
Agreement with respect to the claims $\mathfrak{f})$ was reached.	g) was not reached. h)] N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>It was noted that the declaration failed to refer to the parent applications listed on page 1 of the instant specification. It was agreed to amend the specification and title as shown in the Examiner's Amendment for the sake of clarity and accuracy.</u>				
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	copy of the amendments that w			
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s checked).	eparate record of the substanc	e of the interviev	v(if box is	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	V. (See MPEP Section 713.04) E MONTH FROM THIS INTER). If a reply to the VIEW DATE TO	e last Office FILE A	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required